

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,350	(03/07/2002	John S. Formon	000242.00105	7728
22907	7590	07/06/2005		EXAM	INER
BANNER &			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
1001 G STR SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				3724	
				DATE MAILED, 07/06/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Applicant/s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/092,350	FORMON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT at statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 April 2005.					
	This action is non-final.					
· _	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 58-63 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 58-63 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objection of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific terms of the control of the contr	03 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in Ape priority documents have been its Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/04. 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's remarks received on 04/25/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 58-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartbauer et al. in view of Morizzo.

Hartbauer discloses (Fig. 1-12) the invention substantially as claimed including a chassis 10, a detection system (42, 104 and 106) responsive to an absence of paper in the lower roll, as seen in figure 12, a transfer mechanism having a transfer bar 118 and a transfer link 124; an actuator 28A and a spring 126. Hartbauer does not show a control means and a motor. However, Morizzo teaches the use of a control means 108 and a motor (see col. 13, line 5-6) for the purpose of obtaining automatically controls all apparatus operations. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Hartbauer's device by providing the control means and the motor as taught by Morizzo in order to obtain device that automatically controls all apparatus operations reducing the waste material.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,350 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Hartbauer does not show a detection system for detecting an absence of a sheet material within a feed mechanism. However, Hartbauer teaches a detection system (42, 104 and 106) for detecting an absence of a new sheet material within a feed mechanism. Applicant argues that Morizzo does not provide motivation to combine. However, Morrizo discloses the motivation to combine in the abstract, lines 18-19. Also, Morrizo discloses control means 108 for activating a transfer link (260 and 268) for moving the web *M* between a feed nip (see Fig. 12) by fingers 275.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,350

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs 6/30/05

Allen N. Shorp
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3760